Rapid tests reduce the burden of tuberculosis in Azerbaijan prisons: special emphasis on rifampicin-resistance
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ABSTRACT

Aims: To evaluate the impact of addition of rapid tests for tuberculosis (TB) to mass screening and passive case finding on the burden of TB in high-incidence prisons of Azerbaijan.

Materials and methods: All new and relapse TB cases notified in 01.01.2009-31.12.2015 were retrospectively included.

Results: 2,315 TB patients were identified in 19 prisons. Implementation of the rapid tests to the case finding algorithms lead to 3-, 10- and 5-fold decrease in the annual rates of the notified, smear-positive and RIF-resistant TB cases, respectively. After introduction of rapid tests into the screening algorithms, there were significant linear trends towards decrease in the notified (p=0.009), smear-positive (p=0.011) and RIF-resistant TB cases (p=0.02) with the annual rates of decrease (95% confidence interval (CI)) being -435 (-614; -255), -356 (-517; -195), and -99 (-160; -38), respectively. Utilization of rapid tests also significantly increased treatment success with first-line drugs among all cases, cases detected by mass screening and those, detected by passive case finding [adjusted odds ratio (aOR)=2.38, 95% CI:1.86-3.05, aOR=4.56, 95% CI:2.64-7.89 and aOR=2.60, 95% CI:1.81-3.75, respectively].

Conclusions: Introduction of rapid tests into the screening lead to decline in the burden of TB and RIF-resistance, and improved outcomes of treatment with first-line drugs in prisons.
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INTRODUCTION

Concentration and transmission of tuberculosis (TB) within high-risk populations, especially prisoners, is a major challenge to successful TB control worldwide1. In 2010, the average TB notification rate in correctional facilities in Europe was 1,055 per 100,000 inhabitants, 23 times that of the general population2-4. Prisons have served as the epicenters of outbreaks of rifampicin-resistant (RR) TB and, in some settings, they persistently host high rates of RR-TB4,5. To improve the epidemiological situation in penitentiary systems, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends systematic performance of mass screening among inmates and passive case finding, i.e. detecting TB among symptomatic individuals, who seek for healthcare in prisons6,7. In parallel, the programs are advised to include rapid diagnostic tests for TB like Xpert MTB/RIF and liquid culture for detection of TB6,7. However, today, there is limited evidence to demonstrate that the inclusion of rapid diagnostic tests into mass screening or passive case
finding algorithms along with the associated financial load is justified by a significant reduction of the burden of TB in prisons. Major heterogeneity in TB case finding approaches has emerged in prisons worldwide. According to the WHO, the estimated TB incidence rate and related mortality in Azerbaijan in 2016 was 66 and 0.28 per 100,000 inhabitants, respectively. Also, the TB notification rate among inmates is 26 times that of the general population. The aim of our case-case control study was to evaluate the impact of mass screening and passive case finding to the notification and treatment outcomes of TB in high TB/RR-TB burden prisons of Azerbaijan before and after the introduction of rapid diagnostic tests to the mass screening and passive case finding algorithms. The study took an advantage of a comprehensive TB program run by the Main Medical Department of the Azerbaijan Ministry of Justice, which has been recognized as a best practice for TB/RR-TB management.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

The study included all new and relapse cases of TB notified in all nineteen prisons of Azerbaijan during the period between January 2009 and December 2015. Cases of re-treated TB, other than relapses and cases identified at the pre-trial facilities, were excluded. During the study period, the population in prisons in Azerbaijan was stable, being on average 173 per 100,000 inhabitants. The study protocol was approved by the Bioethics Committee of the Ministry of Health of Azerbaijan.

Definitions and case finding algorithms

Passive case finding was defined as detection of TB among people seeking care in a health care facility. Mass screening signified regular screening of the whole population to identify cases with TB.

Prior to 2009, TB identification was not performed in a systematic way in prisons. In January 2009, annual mass screening was launched in the correctional facilities, consisting of a standardized five-symptom TB questionnaire (cough, sputum production, and subjective weight loss, loss of appetite or chest pain) and chest radiography (Figure 1).

If any of the five TB symptoms were present or any relevant radiographic abnormalities were detected (irrespective of whether the abnormalities were considered suggestive of active or inactive TB), a single sputum sample was collected and tested by smear microscopy. Patients with a positive microscopy result were isolated and referred to the prison TB hospital for further diagnosis and treatment. During the same period, identification of presumptive TB cases by passive case finding was based on the presence of cough for >2 weeks. Such cases were isolated and referred to the prison TB hospital for further diagnosis and treatment. In context of the current study, this period from January 2009 to December 2011 is defined as a pre-intervention period.

From January 2012, sputum samples from all presumptive TB cases identified by the presence of any TB symptom or any abnormality suggestive of TB on chest radiography during the annual mass screening were tested by liquid culture, based on the Mycobacterium Growth Incubator Tubes (MGIT). Patients with positive culture result were referred to the prison TB hospital for treatment. During the same period, the passive TB case finding was done through identification of cases with cough for >2 weeks with the following Xpert MTB/RIF testing. Patients with positive Xpert MTB/RIF results were also referred to the prison TB hospital for treatment. For the current study, this period from January 2012 to December 2015 is defined as a post-intervention period. Additionally, during the post-intervention period, the inmates admitted to the non-TB prison hospitals were screened using standardized five-symptom questionnaire and chest radiography. In cases, where any TB symptom was present or any radiographic abnormalities were detected, sputum was tested with Xpert MTB/RIF, followed by reference to the prison TB hospital in case of positive test result. We should also consider that the research of contacts was carried out within passive case finding during the whole study period.

Laboratory tests

All tests were performed at the laboratory network of Azerbaijan Penitentiary System (PS), which implements all WHO-recommended laboratory diagnostic tests for TB. External quality control for this laboratory system has been provided by the Supranational Reference Laboratory in Borstel, Germany since 2007.

Treatment

Treatment strategies employed at the Azerbaijan PS have been described elsewhere and were unchan-
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Data collection and analysis

The data from screening reports, medical charts and bacteriological laboratory reports were entered into the Azerbaijan PS TB registry database (EpiInfo 6.04d, Atlanta, Georgia, USA). The following variables were transferred and used for the current study: 1) sputum smear microscopy results, rifampicin (RIF) resistance and body mass index (BMI) from the point of diagnosis; 2) time and type of case detection; 3) treatment outcomes with FLD and SLD.

Linear regression, binary logistic regression and multinomial logistic regression analyses were used to compare the periods for the key data, as well as to perform within-period analyses. Variables like age, gender, duration of imprisonment, smoking, education levels, foreign nationality, as well as the type of correctional facility were not used for adjustment in logistic regression models, since they were found to be insignificant during the crude analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using STATA SE software (version 12, StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA).

RESULTS

A total of 2,315 patients with TB were identified in the 19 prisons of Azerbaijan during the study period: 1,799 (77.7%) new and 516 (22.3%) relapse cases, respectively. Among these, 1,032 (44.6%) were smear-positive, 307 (13.3%) were RIF-resistant and 380 (16.4%) occurred among individuals with BMI<18.5 kg/m\textsuperscript{2} at the diagnosis.

During the post-intervention period, 1,035 TB patients were detected: 445 (42.9%) by passive case finding, 469 (45.3%) by mass screening, and 121 (11.7%) by screening at entry to the non-TB prison hospital.

During the post-intervention period, there were significant linear trends towards decrease in the annual rates of the notified (p=0.009), smear-positive (p=0.011) and RIF-resistant TB cases (p=0.02). The annual rates of decrease (95% confidence limits) were -435 (-614; -255), -356 (-517; -195) and -99 (-160; -38), respectively (Figure 2). No significant trends were present for any of these variables during the pre-interventional period of the study (Figure 2).
In contrast to the pre-interventional period, during the post-interventional period, the overall number of smear-positive cases and those with RIF-resistance decreased significantly, while the number of cases with BMI≤18.5 kg/m² did not change (Table 1). Simultaneously, the number of RIF-resistant cases with additional resistance to fluoroquinolones increased significantly during the post-interventional period (Table 1).

Compared to that, what was evident during the pre-interventional period, the treatment success with FLD during the post-interventional period was significantly higher among all cases, among the cases, which were detected by mass screening and among those cases, which were detected by passive case finding (Table 2). The number of cases identified by passive case finding and successfully treated with SLD were significantly smaller during the post-interventional period.

Table 1. Comparisons between the period, when the rapid tests for detection of TB (Xpert MTB/RIF and liquid culture) were introduced into mass screening and into passive case finding (post-interventional period), and the preceding period (pre-interventional period) for the overall number of identified smear-positive TB cases, RIF-resistant cases and those with BMI<18.5 kg/m² at the diagnosis and the number of identified smear-positive TB cases, RIF-resistant cases and those with BMI<18.5 kg/m² identified by mass screening and passive case finding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-interventional period (2009-2011, n=1,280*)</th>
<th>post-interventional period 2 (2012-2015, n=1,035*)</th>
<th>OR (CI 95%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>aOR (CI 95%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smear-positive TB cases</td>
<td>609 (47,6)</td>
<td>423 (40,9)</td>
<td>0,76 (0,64-0,89)</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,80 (0,68-0,96)***</td>
<td>0,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIF-resistant TB cases</td>
<td>200 (15,6)</td>
<td>107 (10,3)</td>
<td>0,62 (0,48-0,80)</td>
<td>&lt;0,001</td>
<td>0,66 (0,51-0,85)***</td>
<td>0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With additional resistance to second-line injectables</td>
<td>12 (0,9)</td>
<td>13 (1,3)</td>
<td>2,16 (0,82-5,70)</td>
<td>0,117</td>
<td>1,53 (0,74-3,14)</td>
<td>0,246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With additional resistance to fluoroquinolones</td>
<td>3 (0,2)</td>
<td>12 (1,2)</td>
<td>13,0 (1,70-99,3)</td>
<td>0,013</td>
<td>4,38 (1,59-12,00)</td>
<td>0,004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensively drug resistant TB</td>
<td>2 (0,2)</td>
<td>9 (0,9)</td>
<td>17,1 (0,74-99,7)</td>
<td>0,203</td>
<td>4,15 (0,74-23,33)</td>
<td>0,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases with BMI&lt;18.5</td>
<td>240 (18,8)</td>
<td>161 (15,5)</td>
<td>0,79 (0,64-0,99)</td>
<td>0,044</td>
<td>0,83 (0,67-1,04)***</td>
<td>0,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass screening€</td>
<td>571 (44,6)</td>
<td>469 (45,3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smear-positive TB cases</td>
<td>191 (14,9)</td>
<td>160 (15,5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,04 (0,80-1,36)***</td>
<td>0,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIF-resistant TB cases</td>
<td>57 (4,4)</td>
<td>43 (4,1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0,92 (0,60-1,40)***</td>
<td>0,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases with BMI&lt;18.5</td>
<td>78 (6,1)</td>
<td>41 (4,0)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0,60 (0,40-0,90)***</td>
<td>0,015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive case finding******</td>
<td>709 (55,4)</td>
<td>445 (43,0)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smear-positive TB cases</td>
<td>418 (32,7)</td>
<td>202 (19,5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0,61 (0,49-0,79)***</td>
<td>&lt;0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIF-resistant TB cases</td>
<td>143 (11,2)</td>
<td>54 (5,2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0,62 (0,44-0,87)***</td>
<td>0,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases with BMI&lt;18.5</td>
<td>162 (12,7)</td>
<td>93 (9,0)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0,96 (0,73-1,05)***</td>
<td>0,156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. aOR: adjusted odds ratio; BMI: Body mass index; RIF: Rifampicin; TB: Tuberculosis

1Used for calculation of percentages in the respective column; 2Used for calculation of the percentages in the respective column; 3Adjustment was made to the overall number of smear-positive cases, RIF-resistant cases and those with BMI<18.5 kg/m² at the diagnosis; 4Adjustment was made to the number of smear-positive cases, RIF-resistant cases and those with BMI<18.5 kg/m² diagnosed by mass screening; 5Adjustment was made to the number of smear-positive cases, RIF-resistant cases and those with BMI<18.5 kg/m² diagnosed by passive case finding; 6During mass screening at the pre-interventional period presumptive TB presenting any TB symptom based on standardized five-symptom questionnaire and/or any abnormality compatible with TB on chest radiography were tested with smear microscopy, while in post-interventional period smear microscopy was substituted by liquid culture. 7Passive case finding during the pre-interventional period identified presumptive TB cases based on presence of cough continuing for longer than two weeks and following Xpert MTB/RIF testing. Multivariate binary logistic regression analysis was used to identify the difference between the periods for the overall number of smear-positive cases, RIF-resistant cases and those with BMI<18.5 kg/m² at the diagnosis, as well as to identify the difference between the periods for the number of smear-positive cases, RIF-resistant cases and those, with BMI<18.5 kg/m² detected by mass screening and passive case finding.
nal period than during the pre-interventional period, while no significant difference was identified, when all cases together were compared (Table 2). When the data were analyzed within both study periods, it was found that the cases identified via passive case finding had significantly lower chances for treatment success with FLD, compared to those, identified via mass screening, even after adjustment for smear-positivity, RIF-resistance and BMI≤18.5 kg/m² (Table 3). The cases detected by the entry screening at the non-TB prison hospital during the post-interventional period had also significantly lower chances for treatment success with FLD than did the cases identified by mass screening (Table 3). Simultaneously, no significant difference for the number of cases identified by various types of case finding and successfully treated with SLD was detected (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Our main finding is that introduction of the rapid tests for detection of TB like liquid culture and Xpert MTB/RIF to case finding algorithms in prisons lead to 3-, 10- and 5-fold decrease in the annual rates of all notified, smear-positive and RIF-resistant TB cases, respectively, within a short period. The results of this study are unique, as they provide practical evidence to

The absolute figures used for calculations are presented in the table below. In the pre-interventional period TB cases were identified via mass screening (cases with any TB symptom based on standardized five-symptom questionnaire and/or any abnormality compatible with TB on chest radiography were tested with smear microscopy) and passive case finding (cases presenting with cough for longer than two weeks) among inmates. In the post-interventional period TB cases were identified via mass screening (cases with any TB symptom based on standardized five-symptom questionnaire and/or any abnormality compatible with TB on chest radiography were tested with liquid culture), passive case finding (cases presenting with cough for longer than two weeks were tested with Xpert MTB/RIF) and entry screening in the non-TB prison hospital (cases with any TB symptom based on standardized five-symptom questionnaire and/or any abnormality compatible with TB on chest radiography were tested with Xpert MTB/RIF).

During 2012-2015 (the “post-interventional period”), there were significant linear trends towards decrease in the annual rates of the notified (p=0.009), smear-positive (p=0.011) and RIF-resistant cases (p=0.02) and a near-significant trend towards reduction of the rate of those with BMI <18.5 kg/m² (p=0.063) (obtained with the univariate linear regression analysis). The annual rates of decrease (95% confidence limits) being -435 (-614; -255), -356 (-517; -195), -99 (-160; -38) and -69 (-145; 8.9), respectively. No trends were present for any of these variables during 2009-2011 (the “pre-interventional period”).

![Graph](image-url)  
**Figure 2.** Annually notified TB cases, smear-positive TB cases, RIF-resistant cases and those with body mass index (BMI) below 18.5 kg/m² at identification in the correctional facilities of Azerbaijan between 01.01.2009 and 31.12.2015, presented as rates per 100,000 prisoners.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of notified TB cases</th>
<th>No. of smear-positive TB cases</th>
<th>No. of rifampicin-resistant TB cases</th>
<th>No. of TB cases with BMI &lt;18.5</th>
<th>No. of Azerbaijan prisoners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>15,934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>16,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>17,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>17,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>17,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18,861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>20,434</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
recommend usage of the rapid tests for case finding in prisons. Formerly, due to the very limited introduction of rapid tests in penitentiaries, such recommendations were mainly based on logical assumptions and mathematical modeling\textsuperscript{5,7,16,17}. Moreover, the finding of many-fold decrease of the TB rates during a short period of time in this study clearly surpassed predictions of the mathematical modeling within similar settings\textsuperscript{16}.

The study showed that the WHO-recommended mass screening and passive case finding work effecti-
vely only if coupled with rapid tests. Bearing in mind that each smear-positive case can infect approximately 10-15 persons annually, the role of highly sensitive case finding algorithms in decreasing the TB transmission is inevitable being especially important in settings with the high burden of RIF-resistant TB. Taking into account that the rate of prison population was stable during the study period, the current finding of significant linear trends towards decrease in the TB rates after introduction of the rapid tests assumes also reduction of TB transmission. TB notification in Azerbaijan has been gradually decreasing since 2009. Our finding of no significant trends towards decrease of TB burden in prisons prior the intervention may be considered as an addition argument for efficacy of introduced practice.

Our study also identified improved outcomes of FLD treatment after introduction of Xpert MTB/RIF and liquid culture to the case finding algorithms in prisons. Specifically, the cases detected by mass

Table 3. Comparison between the cases detected in the correctional facilities of Azerbaijan during 2009-2014 by the different types of case finding strategies for successfulness of the treatment with FLD and SLD separately for the period, when the rapid tests for detection of TB (Xpert MTB/RIF and liquid culture) were introduced into mass screening and passive case finding (post-interventional period) and for the preceding period (pre-interventional period).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mass screening (n=469)</td>
<td>Mass screening (n=356)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Passive case finding (n=510)</td>
<td>Passive case finding (n=300)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entry screening at the non-TB hospital (n=74)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases successfully treated with FLD</td>
<td>445 (45,4)</td>
<td>258 (35,3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>425 (43,4)</td>
<td>50 (0,38-0,67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0,670</td>
<td>0,57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases successfully treated with SLD</td>
<td>24 (2,4)</td>
<td>31 (4,2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>85 (16,7)</td>
<td>42 (5,7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0,70</td>
<td>0,14-3,45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. aOR: adjusted odds ratio; BMI: Body mass index; FLD: First-line antiTB drugs; RIF: Rifampicin; SLD: Second-line antiTB drugs; Successful treatment: Cured + treatment completed; TB: Tuberculosis

During the pre-interventional period, the successfulness of the treatment in patients detected by passive case finding, whereas during the post-interventional period, the successfulness of treatment in patients detected by passive case finding and entry screening at the non-TB prison hospital were compared to the successfulness of the treatment among patients detected with mass screening; Outcomes of SLD treatment of patients detected in 2015 were not available at the time of analysis; During mass screening at the pre-interventional period presumptive TB cases based on standardized five-symptom questionnaire were used, whereas when Xpert MTB/RIF was introduced, an additional smear positivity and RIF-resistance test were required; Passive case finding during the pre-interventional period identified presumptive TB cases based on symptoms compatible with TB on chest radiography were tested with smear microscopy; Passive case finding during the pre-interventional period identified presumptive TB cases based on symptoms compatible with TB on chest radiography were tested with smear microscopy; Passive case finding during the pre-interventional period identified presumptive TB cases based on symptoms compatible with TB on chest radiography were tested with smear microscopy; Passive case finding during the post-interventional period identified presumptive TB cases based on symptoms compatible with TB on chest radiography were tested with smear microscopy; Passive case finding during the post-interventional period identified presumptive TB cases based on symptoms compatible with TB on chest radiography were tested with smear microscopy.
screening had better outcomes of treatment with FLD than did those, whose disease was identified by passive case finding. Since the clinical symptoms that urge an inmate to seek for a healthcare serve as the trigger for passive case finding, the benefit of mass screening on the outcomes of the treatment with FLD may be explained by the detection of TB at earlier stages, when there are characteristically less or no symptoms or when the patients are smear-negative or have a BMI over 18.5 kg/m². Along with the evidence from elsewhere that confirms the cost effectiveness of mass screening in prisons, our finding supports the routine use of mass screening among inmates.

In our study, the overall outcomes of the treatment with SLD did not significantly change throughout the study. However, the worsened outcomes of the treatment with SLD among the cases that were detected by passive case finding during the post-interventional period represented an exception. It has been proven that the number of effective bactericidal anti-TB drugs in the treatment regimen is the main contributor to the outcomes of the treatment with SLD. The worsened outcomes of SLD treatment may primarily be related to an enlarged pattern of drug-resistance observed during the post-interventional period and, consequently, to a very limited number of effective bactericidal drugs in the regimen. Practically, this finding appeals to an urgent need to ensure accelerated access to new and repurposed anti-TB drugs in prisons to allow proper treatment of the cases with extensive drug resistance.

A recent review showed little empirical evidence of benefit from screening without rapid tests to TB notification and treatment outcomes. The results obtained during the pre-interventional period of the current study obviously support this conclusion. Further data on the routine use of rapid tests for case finding in prisons is especially needed to advocate and accelerate the uptake of new rapid diagnostic technologies in prisons. In the light of the fact that the rapid tests are available in the public health care of the majority of the high-TB-burden countries, the occasional use of these tests in prison systems challenges the right of inmates for equal healthcare.

According to yet unpublished comprehensive biological and behavioral surveillance survey studies performed in Azerbaijan prisons, the HIV prevalence among inmates decreased from 5.8% in 2011 to 2.8% in 2014, which could have also contributed to the improved epidemiological situation of TB.

The limitation of our study is its retrospective nature and little control of potential confusion factors in the logistic regression models apart from disease TB-related characteristics. Nevertheless, our findings suggest sound arguments for informed decision on introduction of rapid diagnostic tests into mass screening and passive case finding algorithms in analogous settings. Since the baseline TB notification rate in the Azerbaijan prisons was similar to the rates reported by correctional facilities of other countries, our findings evoke reproducibility.

CONCLUSIÓN

Introduction of rapid diagnostic tests into the algorithms of annual mass screening, routinely performed passive case finding in prisons and entry screening for active TB in the non-TB prison hospitals lead to a significant decline in the overall TB rate, smear-positivity rate and RIF-resistance rate in high TB- and RIF-resistant TB burden prison settings, as well as significantly improved outcomes of treatment with first-line drugs.
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